

Published by
FACULTY OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
IMO STATE UNIVERSITY,

Printed by | Siren Journals Plaza
4th Avenue/ Progress Avenue, Egbelu, P.H

ISSN: 978-37989

WEST AFRICAN JOURNAL OF BUSINESS AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES VOL. 9 NO. 3 SEPTEMBER, 2020



**FACULTY OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
IMO STATE UNIVERSITY**

www.sirenjournals.com
imsbiznessjournals@yahoo.com

**WEST AFRICAN JOURNAL OF
BUSINESS AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES
NIGERIAN EDITION**

VOL. 9 NO. 3 SEPTEMBER, 2020

FACULTY OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION IMO STATE UNIVERSITY OWERRI

Email: imsubiznessjournals@yahoo.com website: www.imsubiznessjournals.org

IMSU BUSINESS JOURNALS

EDITORIAL MISSION

It will not be an unrealistic statement, if one says there is a dearth or insufficient scholarly journals dealing with Business and Management Studies in Africa. It will rather be factual and correct to say that there is an abundance of scholarly Journals being published in various parts of the world especially in Europe and the United States (the West). The Asian countries (The East) are also competitive in Business journal publication to the extent that they may overtake or be at par with the West.

Africa remains the continent that is looked upon as a toddler that has not come of age when it comes to Business research. It is based on the above background that the Faculty of Business Administration, Imo State University attempts to contribute in making input into the publication of business research in Africa, based on global best standards. Given this situation, the Faculty accepts manuscripts from all over the world for publication in the following journals:

1. **West African Journal of Management and Social Sciences**
2. **IMSU Journal of Business and Finance**
3. **IMSU Journal of African Contemporary Research**

We accept indigenous Nigerian and African manuscripts provided they are focused on enhancing African scholarship. These journals accommodate articles from the Faculties of Business and Management Sciences comprising Business Administration, Accountancy, Banking and Finance, Marketing, Economics, Insurance, Management, Office Management and

Technology, Computer Science, communication and Information Technology. The Journals also accommodate articles from other Faculties or Disciplines so far as such articles are given business, economic and management science orientation.

GUIDELINES FOR AUTHORS

Hard copy manuscripts should first be submitted to the Editor. The manuscripts should be formatted as Micro Soft word files, double spaced and not more than 25 pages in length including appendices.

The cover page should bear the title of the paper, the names of all the authors and their affiliations. In addition, include the detailed address of the corresponding author, that is, the postal and home address, email address, phone number(s) and fax if available.

Acknowledgments should be placed first in the Notes Section; that is, immediately before the References. Any notes should come after the acknowledgements.

The second page should also bear the title of the paper together with an abstract of not more than 250 words single spaced. Keywords should be provided to help identify the focus of the paper and relevant reviewers. The body of the paper begins on page 3 without the title of the paper. In the body of the paper the Primary or major headings should be capitalized in bold print. Secondary headings should be in Upper Lower Case, bold print with the first letters of each word capitalized. Third level headings are to be italicized.

The first letter of the first word should be capitalized. Generally, headings should start from the left.

Manuscripts should typically be organized into five main headings namely: Introduction, Theoretical background and Literature review, (Include your research questions, propositions or hypotheses in this section), followed by Method(s), Results, Discussion, Implications.

Secondary headings should be used within each main section. Number all the Pages from the cover page to the end of the manuscript.

Tables and Figures

Tables should bear Arabic Numbers (1,2,3 etc). Tables should be presented on a separate page at the end of the manuscript immediately after the REFERENCES. Adopted tables from previously published work should be accompanied with the permission of the previous holder. The position of the figures or tables should be indicated thus:

INSERT TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE

Stacking should be avoided. Write all words horizontally not vertically. Authors are required to supply their particulars in a separate sheet indicating the primary and co-authors.

The Faculty Journal makes use of the latest editions of American Psychological Association (APA) style of writing. The current edition is the 6th edition. After a double blind review process, accepted manuscripts should be uploaded to the Editor or submitted with a digital compact disc.

Examples of APA Style: In-text citations. APA Style requires in-text citations with references at the manuscript.

The following can serve as examples: Achebe (1972), summarized Igbo characteristics.

Bola (2005) in a comparative study compared African cultures. Also in a similar study (Adamu, 2010) consolidated Bola's findings. In addition, past research (Akpa, Adeboye, and Ogu, 2003) proved that African Culture is heterogeneous.

Books

Gando, J.S.D., and Owani, S.K. (2007). *Cultural Characteristics: A critical analysis in retrospect*. Lagos, Academy Press.

Article or chapter in an edited book

Benue, S.A. (2004). Appraisal of Organizational Effectiveness, In J.D. Anyanwu A.B. Bola (Eds.), *Aspects of Organizational Performance* (PP. 201-220). Owerri, Imo, Universal.

Periodicals

Sambo, M.S. (2005). *Judges and rulings*. Law Bulletin, 96, 350-368.

For More Information Contact:

Prof. C. C. ALUGBUO

Dean,

Faculty of Business Administration,

Imo State University

Owerri, Imo State.

Or

The Managing Editor:

Siren Research Centre for African Universities

Siren Journals Plaza

4th Avenue/Progress Avenue

Egbelu, Port-Harcourt, Rivers State.

Tel: 07035463133

**FACULTY OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION, IMO STATE UNIVERSITY
WEST AFRICAN JOURNAL OF BUSINESS AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES**

EDITORIAL BOARD

Editor – in – Chief

C.C. Alugbuo Imo state University, Owerri

EDITORS

John Inyang Imo state University, Owerri

I. N. John Imo state University, Owerri

N. H. Akpanabia Imo state University, Owerri

F.C Ibekwe Imo state University, Owerri

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

S.E. Kalu University of Port Harcourt

A.V. Anyanwu Imo state University, Owerri

S. A. Jaja Federal University Otuoke

A. Ikwumezie Imo state University,

E. C. Agulanna Imo state University, Owerri

E.R.B. Udokwu Imo state University, Owerri

P.C. Nwokorie Imo state University, Owerri

G. I. Anyanwu Imo State University, Owerri

K. C. Otiwu Imo state University, Owerri

O. J. Akhigbe University of Port Harcourt

J. N. Nwaiwu University of Port Harcourt

A. Olagunju Osun State University, Osogbo

Emma Ukabuilo Imo State University, Owerri

MANAGING EDITOR

**EMEKA IHEJIOFOR
SIREN RESEARCH CENTRE FOR
AFRICAN UNIVERSITIES
07035463133**

CONSULTING EDITORS

A. G. Garba Alaoma	University of Madugiri
C. O. Ofurum	University of Port Harcourt
A.C. Awujo	Imo state University, Owerri
P.U. Akanwa	Imo state University, Owerri
B.O. Osuka	Imo state University, Owerri
B.C. Opara	Rivers State University Science and Technology
E.C. Umeaka	Imo state University, Owerri
M.O. Ndugbu	Imo state University, Owerri
U. J. Nduka	Imo state University, Owerri
C.I.C. Okorie	Imo state University, Owerri
A. Ikwumezie	Imo state University, Owerri
C.I. Umeh	Imo state University, Owerri
N. Iheduru	Imo state University, Owerri
G. O. Omojefe	University of Port Harcourt
A. C. Onuorah	Delta State University, Abraka

ISSN: 978-37989

WEST AFRICAN JOURNAL OF BUSINESS AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES
FACULTY OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
IMO STATE UNIVERSITY, OVERRI
NIGERIAN EDITION
VOL. 9 NO. 3 SEPTEMBER 2020

CONTENTS

Ahmed Abubakarabdullahi (PhD) ASSESSMENT OF REVENUE GENERATED ON NIGERIA ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FROM 1981-20181
Okonkwo, C.F., Onuorah, A.C. (PhD) & Osiegbu P.I. (PhD) HOUSING, FINANCING AND NATIONAL HOUSING UNIT IN NIGERIA. A VARIANCE DECOMPOSITION AND IMPULSE RESPONSE APPROACH (TVIR)10
Azuka Okechukwu (PhD) & Ofor T. (PhD) EFFECT OF SUSTAINABILITY DISCLOSURE ON FIRM PERFORMANCE: EVIDENCE FROM NON-FINANCIAL QUOTED COMPANIES IN NIGERIA28
Oluwakayode, Ebenezer Foluso & Fagboro, Gabriel Damilola (PhD) IMPLICATIONS OF THE ACTIVITIES OF TAX PRACTITIONERS, FORENSIC ACCOUNTANTS AND ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL CRIMES COMMISSION AT CURBING CORRUPTION IN OSUN STATE, NIGERIA48
Ojedele M.I. (PhD), Ayodele T.D. (PhD) & Oyinlola M.A. (PhD) CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE IN NIGERIAN QUOTED OIL AND GAS INDUSTRY92
Ajayi, Ezekiel Oluwole (PhD) & Onikoyi, Idris Adegboyega (PhD) CASHLESS ECONOMIC POLICY AND THE OPERATION OF SMALL SCALE ENTERPRISES IN OSOGBO METROPOLIS, OSUN STATE, NIGERIA.106
Eyong, Joseph Ubi & Emeka Okrerke (PhD) CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND FIRMS PERFORMANCE IN OIL AND GAS AND MANUFACTURING COMPANIES IN EMERGING AND DEVELOPED COUNTRIES121

WEST AFRICAN JOURNAL OF BUSINESS AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES
FACULTY OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
IMO STATE UNIVERSITY, OVERRI
NIGERIAN EDITION
VOL. 9 NO. 3 SEPTEMBER 2020

CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE IN NIGERIAN QUOTED
OIL AND GAS INDUSTRY

OJEDELE MOFOLUWASO IYABODE, (PhD)

ORCID ID: 0000-0003-3066-1646

Faculty of Management Sciences,
Department of Accounting,
Redeemer's University, Ede.

E-mail: ojedelem@run.edu.ng/ foluojedele@gmail.com

Tel. No: +2348030677028/+2348050854363

AYODELE THOMAS DURO, (PhD)

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-0070-2948

Department of Banking and Finance,
Redeemer's University, Ede.

E-mail: ayodeleduro@run.edu.ng/ ayodeleconcept@gmail.com

Tel. No: +2348035473097/080

And

OYINLOLA MOROUNFOLUWA AKINYEDE (PhD)

ORCID ID: 0000-0001-6587-0531

Department of Financial Studies,
Redeemer's University,
Ede Osun State, Nigeria.

+2347069328922

foluesan@gmail.com, akinyedeo@run.edu.ng

Abstract

The paper investigated the direction of causality between CSR and financial performance of the quoted oil and gas industry. The data set contains ten years from 2014 to 2018 of firm specification variables. Data were gathered from audited financial reports of 8 purposively selected firms from quoted companies in the Oil and Gas industry, Nigeria Stock Exchange (NSE) Factbook and statistical Bulletin of the Central Bank of Nigeria. Granger causality test model was employed to investigate the direction of causality between Corporate Social Responsibility and Financial Performance. The result indicated that there was no causal relationship between corporate social responsibility and Return on Assets ($\chi^2=3.479$, $p>0.05$). Return on Asset does not Granger cause corporate social responsibility ($\chi^2=2.279$, $p>0.05$). Also, corporate social responsibility does not granger cause Return on Asset. The study concluded that significant feedback did not exist in any direction of causality between corporate social responsibility and profitability, but there is a positive relationship between them.

Keywords: Corporate social responsibility, Granger causality, Nigeria, Profitability

Introduction

Nigeria is the most populous country of the fifteen member countries of the Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) Countries in 2020 with 203 million inhabitants. The petroleum industry in Nigeria provides a significant income in Nigeria; sourcing about 95% of Nigeria's foreign exchange earnings. The oil and gas companies play a significant role in the Nigerian economy with thirteen oil and gas industries as of 26th March 2020.

Corporate success is the achievement of an organization's goals and objectives. Growth is significant in a corporate organization as are all living things. Any company that stops growing is planning for the death of the corporation. The corporate output is calculated in numerical terms, such as operating income or benefit, Return on Working Capital (ROCE), Return on Investment (ROI) Return on Equity (ROE), Index of Productivity (P.I.), Market Share, etc. Corporate success may also be expressed in social or cultural terms such as Customer Satisfaction, Group Performance, Climate Management Program and Employee Relations. Financial performance has implications for the survival of the company. The organization that manages the company's resources effectively and efficiently will be positively reflected by high financial performance and this, in turn, will contribute to the economic development of the country (Williams, and Ayodele, 2017).

Company's performance is essential to management and other stakeholders such as shareholders, debtors, creditors, members of the community and the Government (Iswatia & Anshoria,2007). Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) means the business model of a corporation should be socially responsible and environmentally sustainable. It means that the activities of the company should benefit society by being socially responsible, and by being environmentally sustainable, it means the company's activities should not harm the environment. Companies that conduct CSR activities effectively increasing their competitiveness by improving their reputation and gaining competitive advantage in the nearest future. Since the government is notable in solving any problem in society, companies should play their part in solving many critical social problems that could be achieved through the growth of public-private partnership; Improving supply chain operations and environmental and natural resource issues. Both these practices will, in the immediate future, contribute to financial results. The idea of CSR implies how an organization can manage its business process to produce an overall positive impact on society.

It also means how organizations, by enhancing the quality of life of the local community and society at large, are behaving ethically and contributing to the economic growth of society. The CSR is a collection of principles that the organization subscribes to to make a positive effect on society. It also means how organizations, by enhancing the quality of life of the local community and society at large, are behaving ethically and contributing to the economic growth of society.

Statement of the Problem

The empirical research on the construct of CSR and financial performance still lacks an understanding of the nature of the dynamic interactions that exist among the two phenomena. While previous studies have failed to provide a consistent framework of the theory that supports prior causal directions between the variables, many research analyzed these relationships by believing causality from one direction to the next. Considering the enormous

expenditure incurred annually on CSR, it is generally held that CSR may increase profits from the company

Nevertheless, few managers and executives are conscious of the research on this critical topic. Most executives believe CSR can boost earnings. They understand that CSR will foster consumer interest for their business which can lead to higher revenue, improve employee loyalty and attract better employees to the company. CSR activities which focus on sustainability issues can also reduce costs and improve efficiencies. This study investigated the dynamic interactions between these variables by treating them as endogenous and thus able to identify the nature of the causal and feedback effect between the phenomenon of CSR and Financial Performance in the Nigerian quoted Oil and Gas industry. Hence the study established what the case is for Nigeria.

Review of Literature

The empirical study results on the corporate social responsibility and financial performance link have never been in agreement, as some studies determined negative correlation, some determined positive correlation, while others determined no correlation at all. The argument for a definite link between corporate social responsibility and financial results implies that the visible costs of a business are counter to the implicit costs of the stakeholders. This point of view is also formulated from the viewpoint of reducing costs and ensuring their happiness for the main stakeholders (Hou, 2019; Rodriguez-Fernandez, 2016). Therefore, this hypothesis further infers that dedication to corporate social responsibility will lead to increased production costs and decrease stakeholders' hidden costs. This claim is valid and rational, as good relationships are crucial to a company's survival with staff, suppliers and customers.

Clevenger and MacGregor (2019) pointed out that some shareholders regard corporate social responsibility as a symbolic management skill. Namely, Corporate social responsibility is a sign of credibility, and the image of the business will be strengthened by efforts to benefit the environment, which would have a positive sales impact. Hitherto, when a company increases its costs by improving corporate social responsibility in order to increase competitive advantages, such corporate social responsibility activities can enhance company reputation, thus, in the long-run financial performance can be improved, by sacrificing the short term financial performance. The increased costs would result in little benefit when conducting corporate social responsibility programs if calculated in economic interests. If other stakeholders, such as workers or the environment, are ignored, this results in lower corporate social responsibility for the company; the financial performance of the company can be enhanced.

Akinyede & Ojedele (2019) researched the effect of corporate social responsibility on accounting expenditure conservatism in the Nigerian banking industry and concludes that corporate social responsibility promotes accounting expenditure conservatism in the Nigerian banking sector. They studied Conservatism in this regard is represented by the ratio of the book value of shares to the market value of the share. At the same time, corporate social responsibility was surrogated by expenditure on societal development, employee welfare and environmental management.

Nkemjika and Nkechi (2017) ascertained the relationship between CSR and performance by comparing events in the Nigerian banking sector and the Nigerian manufacturing sector. Findings showed CSR significantly impact on the performance of both firms in the

CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE IN NIGERIAN QUOTED...

manufacturing and the banking sector though manufacturing companies develop more on CRS activities than banks. This is in contrast to Sadiq, Azizat, Fatima, and Ibrahim (2017) who researched on Corporate social responsibility and firms' performance in Nigeria (2005 – 2014). Results announced no significant relationship between corporate social responsibility and firms' performance measured by size: and the presence of a negative relationship between corporate social responsibility and firm "s efficiency.

Bolanle (2012) argues that long term investment in corporate social responsibility provides the best return. Banks should make some investment in corporate social responsibility in Nigeria. This shows a positive relationship between spending on corporate social responsibility and profitability for the banks. Bolanle (2012) argues that spending on corporate social responsibility. So, there is a causal link between corporate social responsibility and bank profitability. It is because corporate social responsibility cost/expenditure would further reduce the tax charged by banks. This makes the market climate friendlier. The government should track social responsibility spending by companies to prevent false claims to minimize the tax burden.

Sial, Chunmei and Khuong (2019) say that the relationship between corporate social responsibility activities of the company and its financial results is two way. We worked on data from the panel and tested the model of random effect, resulting in a positive relationship between these two variables. Cooper (2017) disclosed some of the conditions under which corporations are likely to embark on corporate social responsibility to include 'public and private legislation, the involvement of non-governmental and other independent organizations monitoring corporate behaviour, institutionalized guidelines on acceptable behaviour, Associated behaviour between corporations and organized dialogues between corporations and their stakeholder's research on the relationship between corporate social responsibility and corporate financial performance showed divergent results. Although some demonstrated corporate social responsibility contributing to improved financial success

The critical finding obtained through univariate research shows that businesses that are socially more responsible have better financial performance, i.e. they are more profitable and therefore have greater credibility. The inference is drawn from the fact that there is a causal link between efficiency and social responsibility, i.e. a higher degree of productivity enables better allocation of resources for socially more responsible corporate performance and vice versa; corporate socially responsible performance affects credibility and improved efficiency. Using First Bank Nigeria Plc, Amole, Adebisi and Awolaja, (2012) conducted their investigation into the causal relationship between corporate social responsibility and bank profitability in Nigeria. Like a case study. Secondary data were gathered from historical sources, in particular from the bank's audited annual reports over the various years. The outcome of the regression analysis conducted showed a positive relationship between investments in corporate social responsibility and bank profitability.

Model Specification

This research uses the Granger causality test to establish the causal relationship between the two variables, whether they have a unidirectional or bi-directional (feedback) relationship, and these variables were used to predict one another or not. The Granger's 1969 solution to the problem of whether X causes Y is to see how much of the present Y can be

explained by Y's past values and then see whether adding lagged X values will boost the explanation; Y is said to be Granger caused by X whether X improves in Y's estimation, or equivalently if the coefficients on the lagged X's are statistically significant. Remember that causation is two-way

It is necessary to remember that the "X Granger causes Y" argument does not mean that Y is the consequence or product of X. Granger causality tests priority and quality of knowledge but do not imply causality in the more traditional use of the term.

$$\Delta Y_x = \sum_{n=1}^k \alpha_t \Delta Y_{t-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_t \Delta X_{1_{t-j+}} t \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

$$\Delta X_x = \sum_{n=1}^k \delta_t \Delta X_{t-i} \sum_{j=1}^k y_t \Delta Y_{t-j+} \mu t \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

This work primarily used the standard Granger test to assess whether past changes in one factor (say CSR) help explain current changes in another variable (ROA). However, if the findings are the same, then one assumes that CSR is not Granger of ROA. To find out if causality is going in the opposite direction. In other words, repeat checking with ROA and CSR interchanged will be carried out from ROA to CSR. Using Granger causality framework, the research presented the following models of causal relationships:

$$ROA_t = \sum_{n=1}^k \alpha_t ROA_{t-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_t CSR_{t-j+} t \dots \dots \dots (3.5)$$

$$CSR_t = \sum_{n=1}^k \alpha_t CSR_{t-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_t ROA_{t-j+} t \dots \dots \dots (3.6)$$

Or, equivalently, in matrix form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} ROA_t \\ CSR_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \delta \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_i & \beta_t \\ \delta_i & y_t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} ROA_{t-1} \\ CSR_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} + \dots + \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_k & \beta_k \\ \delta_k & y_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} ROA_{t-k} \\ CSR_{t-k} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \ell t_t \\ \mu t_t \end{bmatrix}$$

ROA and CSR stand for the pairwise sequence under consideration from equations 3.4 and 3.5 above, and K is the necessary lag period to be obtained by the Final Prediction Error (FPE) criterion of Akaike (1969). E.g., if $\beta = 0$ and sometimes $\alpha = 0$, then CSR does not cause Granger to cause ROA in equation (3.4), and likewise, ROA does not cause Granger to cause CSR in equation (3.5). Thus it means that CSR and ROA are believed to be separate, other things being equal.

The research will undertake pairwise Granger causality tests among the predicting CSR sequence, respectively, of the predictor CSR. Where the coefficients of the endogenous variables are α β and Y , and the terms of stochastic error are ambient and μ .

A priori Expectation

The presumption is that the higher the Corporate Social Responsibility, the greater the likelihood of an increase in financial efficiency. Moreover, the higher the financial results, the greater the Corporate Social Responsibility, That means the assumption is that the relationship

CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE IN NIGERIAN QUOTED...

between Corporate Social Responsibility and Financial Results would be causal. Therefore a constructive relationship is postulated between Corporate Social Responsibility and Financial Performance.

The $b_1 > 0$

Empirical Analysis

The model for the analysis of the direction of causality between Corporate Social Responsibility and Financial performance is Granger causality test model, as shown in equation 3.7 and 3.8 and repeated below:

$$ROA_t = \sum_{n=1}^k \alpha_n ROA_{t-n} + \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j CSR_{t-j} + t \dots \dots \dots (3.5)$$

$$CSR_t = \sum_{n=1}^k \alpha_n CSR_{t-n} + \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j ROA_{t-j} + t \dots \dots \dots (3.6)$$

Tests for Stationary

An essential concern in data analysis is to know whether a series is stationary (do not contain a unit root) or not stationary (contains a unit root). Time series data are often assumed to be non-stationary. Thus it is necessary to perform a pre-test to ensure there is a stable co-integrating relationship among the variables to avoid the problem of spurious regression which is a condition for using the Granger Causality test. Therefore, to test for the stationarity, quantitative analysis of unit roots test of Levin, Lin and Chu t (assuming standard unit root process), Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat Augmented Dickey-Fuller test (ADF) and P.P. – Fisher Chi-square were used.

Table 1 shows the result of the unit root tests. Levin, Lin and Chu's test assume a standard unit root process while the other three tests assume individual unit root process. As all the p-values are smaller than 1% the null hypothesis is rejected, the study concluded that the two variables series are stationary. The estimated VAR is stable (stationary) as all roots have modulus less than one and lie inside the unit circle

Table 1: The Result of the Unit root tests

Test	CSR(p-value)	ROA (p-value)	REMARKS
Levin, Lin and Chu	0.00011	0.0000	Stationary
Im, Pesaran and Shin W-stat	0.1224	0.0000	Stationary
ADF – Fisher Chi-square	0.0844	0.0000	Stationary
PP – Fisher Chi-square	0.1021	0.0000	Stationary

Source: Analyzed Data, 2019

Auto regression Lag Order Selection Unrestricted Criteria

For the selection of the joint lags, we considered the VAR lag Order Selection Criteria. The results specify the maximum lags to "test" for as displayed in table 2 The table indicates the selected lag from each column criterion by an asterisk". However, searching over the lag lengths (K1 to K4) and applying information criterion to determine the optimal length of the lag structure. Akaike information criterion, following modified L.R. test statistics Schwarz

information criterion, Hannan-Quinn information criterion and final prediction error suggest seven lags.

Table 2: The Result of the VAR Lag Order Selection Criteria

Exogenous variables: CSR ROA
 Endogenous variables: CSR ROA
 Variables: C
 Sample: 2009 2018
 Included observations:16

Lag	LogL	LR	FPE	AIC	SC	HQ
0	-21.45314	NA	0.969407	2.806643	2.854930	2.809116
1	-12.21048	16.17470	0.346367	1.776307	1.872881	1.781263
2	-11.66890	0.880033	0.367962	1.833613	1.978473	1.841031
3	-11.66210	0.010204	0.419249	1.957762	2.150909	1.967663
4	-11.65700	0.007010	0.479926	2.082125	2.323559	2.094488
5	-11.20633	0.563342	0.522762	2.150791	2.440511	2.165627
6	-10.30681	0.011959	0.542668	2.163351	2.501358	2.180660
7	-9.814249	0.492558*	0.599006*	2.226781*	2.613075*	2.246563*
8	-1.304604	7.445939	0.246145	1.266076	1.722657	1.310330

*indicate lag order selected by the criterion L.R.: Sequential modified L.R. test statistic (each test at 5% level)

FPE: Final prediction error

AIC: Akaike information criterion

SC: Schwarz information criterion

H.Q.: Hannan-Quinn information criterion

Source: Analyzed Data, 2019

Vector Auto Regression Estimates

Using the model defined under specification, the causal nexus between profitability (ROA) and corporate social responsibility (CSR) was examined as shown in table 3 The VAR approach was adopted and upon verification of the appropriate lag; it was found that the optimal lag should be 7. Table 3 presents the criteria for checking the appropriate optimal lag. The two results are at an optimal level.

OJEDELE M.I. (PhD), AYODELE T.D. (PhD) AND OYINLOLA M.A. (PhD)
CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE IN NIGERIAN QUOTED...

Table 3: Vector Auto Regression Estimates

	CSR	ROA
CSR(-1)	0.632573 (0.33073) [1.91266]	-0.013965 (3.94047) [-0.34510]
CSR(-2)	-0.365001 (0.44908) [0.81278]	0.003042 (0.06495) [0.05535]
CSR(-3)	1.335578 (0.66109) [1.96093]	0.023338 (0.08334) [0.28005]
CSR(-4)	-1.63153 (0.43029) [-2.47076]	-0.010703 (0.05265) [-0.20329]
CSR(-5)	0.202663 (0.36215) [0.55961]	0.059612 (0.04431) [1.34529]
CSR(-6)	0.120848 (0.42758) [0.28263]	-0.037981 (0.05232) [-0.72597]
CSR(-7)	0.250610 (0.38921) [0.64390]	0.033895 (0.27483) [0.44009]
ROA(-1)	-3.379796 (2.24616) [-1.50470]	0.120951 (0.11779) [-1.43581]
ROA(-2)	-1.424932 (0.96266) [-1.48020]	-0.169123 (0.111779) [-1.43581]
ROA(-3)	0.365676 (0.72868) [0.50183]	-0.176955 (0.08916) [-1.98469]
ROA(-4)	-2.685241 (1.13173) [0-2.37269]	-0.163035 (0.15387) [-1.05955]
ROA(-5)	0.453865 (1.25756) [0.36091]	-0.163035 (0.15387) [-1.05955]
ROA(-6)	-0.322152 (0.52974) [-0.60814]	-0.065410 (0.06482) [-1.00914]
ROA(-7)	0.747552 (0.47711) [1.56682]	-0.041146 (0.06482) [-0.70482]
C	-0.078061 (1.00898) [-0.07752]	-0.230406 (0.12321) [-1.87001]
R-squared	0.921652	0.624533
Adj. R-squared	0.799777	0.040474
Sum sq. resids	81.493033	0.022363
S.E. equation	0.407299	0.049836
F-statistics	7.562278	1.069298
Log likelihood	-0.727597	49.69178
Akaike AIC	1.310633	-2.890982
Schwarz' S.C.	2.046917	-2.154698
Mean dependent	6.537917	0.053333
S. D. dependent	0.910241	0.050676

Table 4: The Result of the Vector Auto Regression Estimates

Sample (adjusted): 2018

Included observations: 24 after adjustments

Standard errors in () & t-statistics I []

Determinant resid covariance (dof adj.) 0.000412

Determinant resid covariance 5.79E-05

Log likelihood 48.97471

Akaike information criterion -1.581226

Schwarz criterion -0.108659

Source: Analyzed Data, 2019**Vector autoregression Granger Causality/ Block Exogeneity Wald and Pairwise Granger Causality**

To check the direction of causality and the significance of the observed nexus, chi-square statistics were derived by the application of the pairwise Granger Causality test, for a lag equal to 5 as shown in table 5 and 6, The chi-square statistics shows that corporate social responsibility has no significant causal effect on profitability (ROA) (chi-square=2.28, P=0.32. There was also no significant causal nexus from profitability (ROA) to corporate social responsibility (CSR), with the chi-square = 3.48 and $p < 0.18$ as indicated in table 4.8. This result indicated that there was no causal relationship between corporate social responsibility (CSR) and profitability (ROA) and also no causal relationship between profitability and corporate social responsibility meaning that the null hypothesis is accepted.

That is, there was no causal nexus significant from corporate social responsibility (CSR) to profitability (ROA). However, a further look of the results show that profitability had more impact on corporate social responsibility (chi-square = 3.48) given the higher level of chi-square of ROA (chi-square = 2.28) on corporate social responsibility model than what was obtained in the case of corporate social responsibility on profitability. The conclusion from these findings is that meaningful feedback did not exist in any direction of causality between corporate social responsibility and profitability, but there is a positive relationship between them.

This study is in line with some studies which suggested that corporate social responsibility is not related to F.P. at all. Platonova, Asutay, Dixon, and Mohammad, (2018) pointed out that there is no reason to anticipate the existence of any relationship between corporate social responsibility and financial performance, with many variables in between the two. On the other hand, the issue of corporate social responsibility measurement may also cover the link between corporate social responsibility and financial performance (Rodriguez-Fernandez, 2016). McWilliams and Siegel (2000) also proved that the relationship between financial performance and corporate social responsibility would disappear with the introduction of more explicit variables (research and development strength) into the economic models.

Table 5: The result of the VAR Granger Causality/Block Exogeneity Wald Tests

VAR Granger Causality/Block Exogeneity Wald Test			
Sample: 2009 2018			
Included observation: 64			
Dependent variable: CSR			
Excluded	Chi-sq	Df	Prob.
ROA	2.278969	2	0.3200
All	2.278968	2	0.3200
Dependent variable: ROA			
Excluded	Chi-sq	Df	Prob.
CSR	3.478796	2	0.1756
All	3.478796	2	0.1756

Source: Analyzed Data, 2019

Table6: The result of the Pairwise Granger Causality Test

Pairwise Granger Causality Tests			
Sample: 2009 2018			
Lag: 2			
Null Hypothesis:			
	Observations	F-statistic	Prob
ROA does not Granger Cause CSR	64	1.13948	0.3269
CSR does not Granger Cause ROA		1.73940	0.1845

Source: Analyzed Data, 2019

For the robustness of the study, the residual error correlation was tested. The following tests were found appropriate: VAR Residual serial Portmanteau Test for Autocorrelations, VAR Correlation L.M. Tests and VAR Residual Normality.

Portmanteau Autocorrelation Test computes the multivariate Box- Pierce/Lung-Box-Q-statistics (5.854045) and the adjusted Q-statistics (6.682337) for residual serial correlation up to specified order. Both Q-statistics and the adjusted Q-statistics were reported in Table 7. Under the null hypothesis of no serial correlation up to lag h, both statistics are appropriately distributed X^2 with degrees of freedom $K^2 (h-p)$ (Df=4) where p is the VAR lag order.

Table 7: Result of VAR Residual Portmanteau Tests for Autocorrelations

Null hypothesis: no autocorrelations up to lag h
 Sample: 2009 2018
 Included observations: 24

Lags	Q-Stat	Prob.	Adj Q-Stat.	Prob.	df
1	3.509537	NA*	3.662126	NA*	NA*
2	4.723326	NA*	4.988258	NA*	NA*
2	4.723326	NA*	4.988258	NA*	NA*
3	4.723326	NA*	4.988258	NA*	NA*
4	4.723326	NA*	4.1A*	NA*	
5	4.723326	NA*	4.988258	NA*	NA*

6	4.723326	NA*	4.988258	NA*	NA*
7	5.854045	0.2103	6.682337	0.1537	4

*The test is valid only for lags than the VAR lag order.
Df is degrees of freedom for the (approximate) chi-square distribution

Source: Analyzed Data, 2019

Vector autoregression Residual Serial Correlation L.M.

Autocorrelation L.M. Test reports the multivariate L.M. test statistics for residual serial correlation up to the specified order. The test statistic for lag order is computed by running an auxiliary regression of the residuals μ_t on the original right-hand regressors and the lagged residual μ_{t-h} . Under the null hypothesis of no serial correlation of order h , the L.M. statistic is asymptotically distributed X^2 with K^2 degrees of freedom. As indicated in Table 8, both tests indicate that the null hypothesis can be rejected, so we can argue that for an LM-stat = 3.032931 and a $p = 0.5523$, there are no autocorrelations between the residual errors.

Table 8: The result of the VAR Residual Serial Correlation L.M. Tests

VAR Residual Serial Correlation L.M. Tests		
Null hypothesis: no serial correlation at lag order h		
Sample: 2005 2014		
Included observations: 24		
Lags	LM-Stat	Prob.
1	4.486852	0.3441
2	3.032931	0.5523
Probs from chi-square with 4 df.		

Source: Analyzed Data, 2019

Jarque-Bera residual Normality

Normality Test reports the multivariate extensions of the Jarque-Bera residual normality test, which compares the third and fourth moments of the residuals to those from the normal distribution. In principle, rejection of normal distribution invalidates the test statistics. But measures of skewness are found not to be informative in small samples. In conclusion, the "Unrestricted Vector regression CSR and ROA" model may be considered representative and stable to describe the autoregressive connection between CSR and ROA and vice versa as all the p -value are less than 1% as shown in table 9.

Table 9: The Result of the Jarque-Bera residual normality test.

VAR Residual Normality Tests				
Orthogonalization: Cholesky (Luke)				
Null hypothesis: residuals are multi				
Sample: 200 2018				
Included observations: 24				
Component	Skewness	Chi-sq	Df	Prob
1	0.915063	3.349359	1	0.0672
2	0.460396	0.847859	1	0.3572
Joint		4.197218	2	0.1226

CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE IN NIGERIAN QUOTED...

Component	Kurtosis	Chi-sq	Df	Prob.
1	4.062389	1.128671	1	0.2881
2	2.122854	0.769386	1	0.3804
Joint		1.898057	2	0.3871
Component	Jarque-Bera	Df	Prob.	
1	4.478030	2	0.1066	
2	1.617245	2	0.4455	
Joint	6.095275	4	0.1921	

Source: Analyzed Data, 2019

Generally, there is no doubt that several studies have been conducted so far on CSR with mixed results. Nevertheless, using empirical methods, the direction of causality between CSR and F.P. were investigated. The study used panel data (data covering a ten year period (2009-2018) in eight companies). Findings from this study further revealed that there was no causal relationship between CSR and F.P. Finally, the study concluded that financial performance of quoted Nigerian downstream Oil and Gas industry is determined by other factors other than corporate social responsibility.

Conclusion

The study concluded that there was no causality between is Corporate Social Responsibility and financial performance of quoted Oil and Gas industry in Nigeria and vice versa.

Recommendations and Policy Implication

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations are therefore made:

1. There should be intensive public enlightenment and interactions to inform Nigerian oil and gas industries that their duties do not end with profit-making and maximization of shareholder wealth. This will enable the business unit managers to be more aware and conscious of the social needs of their immediate environment and the community at large;
2. Corporate entities should voluntarily integrate both social and environmental upliftment in their business philosophy and operations.
3. The firm should see corporate social responsibilities as social obligations business concerns owe their shareholders, the local (host) community, the public, customers, employees and the government in the course of operating their legitimate businesses, such that CSR should be included in the law and enforced on the firms accordingly.
4. Education of the society on the obligation which companies in their environment owe them, and how to follow up their demand should be encouraged.
5. Awards and recognition should be given to managers and business units that have excelled in their social responsibility performance. They are to make the performance competitive, thereby attracting more managers and corporate organizations.

Contribution to Knowledge

This research makes theoretical and practical contributions to the field of accounting. It will enhance the quality of literature on the direction of causality on corporate social responsibility. This study throws more light and enhances understanding of Corporate Social

Responsibility and its sensitivity to corporate performance in Nigerian quoted Oil and Gas industry.

References

- Akinyede, O. M. P., & Ojedele, M. I. P (2019). Effect of Corporate Social Responsibility on Accounting Expenditure Conservatism In the Nigeria Banking Industry. A Publication of the Faculty of Management and Social Sciences, Hallmark University, Ijebu-Itele, Ogun State, Nigeria, 117.
- Amole, B. B., Adebisi, S. O., and M, A. A. (2012). Corporate Social Responsibility and Profitability in Nigeria Banks. A Causal Relationship. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 3 (1), 6-17.
- Bolanle, A. B., Olanrewaju, A. S. and Muyideen, A. A. (2012). Corporate Social Responsibility and Profitability of Nigeria Banks - Causal Relationship. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 3 (1), 6-17
- Clevenger, M. R., & MacGregor, C. J. (2019). Stakeholder Management and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)', Business and Corporation Engagement with Higher Education.
- Campbell, J. L. (2007). Why Would Corporations Behave in Socially Responsible Ways?, An Institutional Theory of Corporate Social Responsibility. *Academy of Management Review*, 32(3), 946-967.
- Gunu, U. (2008). The Influence of Corporate Social Responsibility on the Performance of Banks: A Case of Zenith Bank PLC, Usman Danfodio University Journal of Management Studies, 2(2): 1 – 14
- Hou, T. C. T. (2019). The relationship between corporate social responsibility and sustainable financial performance: Firm-level evidence from Taiwan. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 26(1), 19-28.
- Iswatia, S. D., and Anshoria, M.(2007). The influence of Intellectual Capital to Financial Performance at insurance in Jakaria Stock Exchange. Proceedings of the Asia Pacific Management Conference, Melbourne, Australia. 13
- McWilliams, A., and Siegel, D. (2000). Corporate Social Responsibility and Financial performance: Correlation or misspecification?" *Strategic Management Journal*, 21(5), 603-609.
- Naser, K. and Mokhtar, M. Z. (s2004). Determinants of Corporate Performance of Malaysia Companies, Paper Presented on the fourth Asia Pacific Interdisciplinary Research in Accounting Conference, Singapore.
- Platonova, E., Asutay, M., Dixon, R., & Mohammad, S. (2018). The impact of corporate social responsibility disclosure on financial performance: Evidence from the GCC Islamic banking sector. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 151(2), 451-471.
- Rodriguez-Fernandez, M. (2016). Social responsibility and financial performance: The role of good corporate governance. *BRQ Business Research Quarterly*, 19(2), 137-151.
- Cooper, S. (2017). Corporate social performance: A stakeholder approach. Taylor & Francis.

Rowley, T. and Shawn, B. (2000). A Brand new Brand of Corporate Social Performance, and Society, 39, 397-418.

Williams, H. T., & Ayodele, T. D. (2017). An Empirical investigation of the impact of dividend policy on performance of quoted companies in a developing economy. *Singaporean Journal of Business, Economics and Management Studies*, 51(5384), 1-7.

Nkemjika, O. M., & Nkechi, O. (2017). Corporate Social Responsibilities and Firm Performance: A Comparative study of Banking and Non-Bank Sector in Nigeria. *International Journal of Management Excellence*, 8(2), 946-955.

Sadiq, R., Azizat, A., Fatima, M., & Ibrahim, A. (2017). Corporate social responsibility and firms' performance in Nigeria. *Journal of Emerging Trends in Economics and Management Sciences*, 8(6), 276-283.

Sial, M. S., Chunmei, Z., & Khuong, N. V. (2019). Do female and independent directors explain the two-way relationship between corporate social responsibility and earnings management of Chinese listed firms?. *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management*.