

1 **Original article**

2 **Prospective associations of leisure-time physical activity with psychological distress**
3 **and wellbeing: A 12-year cohort study**

4 Running title: **Leisure-time physical activity and mental health**

5
6 André O. Werneck, MSc^{1*}, Brendon Stubbs, PhD^{2,3}, Aaron Kandola, MSc^{4,5}, Adewale L.
7 Oyeyemi, PhD⁶, Felipe B. Schuch, PhD⁷, Mark Hamer, PhD⁸, Davy Vancampfort, PhD⁹, Danilo
8 R. Silva, PhD¹⁰

9
10 1. Center for Epidemiological Research in Nutrition and Health, Department of Nutrition, School
11 of Public Health, University of São Paulo (USP), São Paulo; Brazil.

12 2. Department of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience,
13 King's College London, De Crespigny Park, London, Box SE5 8AF, United Kingdom.

14 3. South London Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK.

15 4. Division of Psychiatry, University College London, London, UK

16 5. Institute of Mental Health, University College London, London, UK

17 6. Department of Physiotherapy, College of Medical Sciences, University of Maiduguri, Borno
18 State, Nigeria.

19 7. Department of Sports Methods and Techniques, Federal University of Santa Maria, Santa
20 Maria, Brazil

21 8. Institute Sport Exercise & Health, Division Surgery Interventional Science, University College
22 London, London, UK.

23 9. Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, KU Leuven – University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium.

24 10. Postgraduate Program in Physical Education, Federal University of Sergipe - UFS, São
25 Cristóvão, Brazil.

26
27 ***Corresponding author:** André O. Werneck, MSc, Department of Nutrition, School of Public
28 Health, University of São Paulo (USP). Av. Dr. Arnaldo, 715 - Cerqueira César, São Paulo - SP,
29 01246-904, São Paulo, Brazil. E-mail: andrewerneck@usp.br.

30
31 **Acknowledgments:** We thank the Centre for Longitudinal Studies (CLS), UCL Institute of
32 Education, for the use of these data and the UK Data Service for making them available. Neither
33 CLS nor the UK Data Service bear any responsibility for the analysis or interpretation of these
34 data.

35 **Conflicts of Interest and Source of Funding:** *Sources of Funding:* This research received no
36 specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.
37 André O. Werneck is funded by the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) with a PhD
38 scholarship (FAPESP process: 2019/24124-7). Brendon Stubbs is supported by a Clinical

39 Lectureship (ICA-CL-2017-03-001) jointly funded by Health Education England (HEE) and the
40 National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). Brendon Stubbs is part funded by the NIHR
41 Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust. Hamer is
42 funded through a joint award from Economic Social Research Council and Medical Research
43 Council (RES-579-47-0001). This paper presents an independent research. The views expressed
44 are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the (partner organization), the FAPESP,
45 the NHS, the NIHR, or the Department of Health and Social Care. The funders had no role in
46 study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.
47 The authors declare that they have no competing interests relevant to this article.

48

49 ABSTRACT

50 **Objective:** To investigate the associations of leisure-time physical activity with psychological
51 distress and wellbeing, and potential mediators.

52 **Methods:** We used data from the 1970 British Cohort Study (N=5,197 - 2,688 men), including
53 waves 34y (2004), 42y (2012), and 46y (2016) waves. Participants reported leisure-time physical
54 activity frequency and intensity (exposure) at age 34 (baseline), cognition (vocabulary test), body
55 mass index, disability, mobility and pain perception (potential mediators) at age 42, and
56 psychological distress (Malaise inventory) and wellbeing (Warwick-Edinburgh scale) at age 46.
57 Baseline confounders included sex, country, education, employment status, alcohol use, tobacco
58 smoking, and psychological distress. Main analyses included logistic regression and mediation
59 models.

60 **Results:** Higher leisure-time physical activity intensity at baseline was associated with lower
61 psychological distress at 46y [β : -0.038 (95%CI: -0.069 to -0.007)], but not leisure-time physical
62 activity frequency. Baseline leisure-time physical activity frequency and intensity were
63 associated with higher psychological well-being at 46y [frequency: β : 0.089 (95%CI: 0.002 to
64 0.176); intensity: β : 0.262 (95%CI: 0.123 to 0.401); and total: β : 0.041 (95%CI: 0.013 to 0.069)].
65 Only body mass index at 42y partially mediated the association between leisure-time physical
66 activity frequency (15.7%) and total leisure-time physical activity (6.2%) at 34y, with
67 psychological wellbeing at 46y.

68 **Conclusions:** These findings highlight the role of leisure-time physical activity in psychological
69 distress and wellbeing, with greater effect sizes associated with higher frequency and intensity of
70 leisure-time physical activity. Future interventions should consider examining potential
71 mediators of the association of leisure-time physical activity with psychological wellbeing, such
72 as body mass index.

73 **Keywords:** exercise; mood; mental health, wellbeing, physical activity, sport

74

75 List of abbreviations

76 y = years.

77 LTPA = leisure-time physical activity.

78 BMI = body mass index.

79 BCS70 = 1970 British Cohort Study.

80 CI = confidence interval.

81

82 INTRODUCTION

83 Mental illness is a major contributor to the overall global burden of disease and one of the
84 leading causes of disability worldwide (1). There is growing evidence that physical activity
85 reduces the risk of mental illness such as depression and anxiety (2,3). Physical activity may also
86 improve psychological wellbeing and reduce psychological distress (4–7).

87 Psychological wellbeing refers to a positive state where individuals realize their potential
88 while maintaining interpersonal relationships, working productively, contributing to their
89 community, and coping with psychological distress (8). Psychological distress is the presence of
90 negative emotions that disrupt normal daily functioning (8,9). Higher psychological distress and
91 lower wellbeing are independently associated with an increased risk for cardiovascular diseases
92 and premature mortality (10–13). Furthermore, lower psychological wellbeing and increased
93 psychological distress are associated with an elevated risk of mental disorders (14).

94 There is emerging evidence that physical activity is prospectively associated with reduced
95 psychological distress (5–7) and increased psychological wellbeing (4). Much of these
96 associations refer to leisure-time physical activity (LTPA), which includes discretionary
97 activities that are not essential for daily living, such as recreational sports or exercises (15).
98 However, there is insufficient research on the dose-response relationship of leisure-time physical
99 activity with psychological distress and psychological wellbeing, including the optimal
100 frequency, intensity, and duration. Several cross-sectional studies have found that just one
101 session of LTPA per week is associated with lower psychological distress and increased
102 psychological wellbeing (16,17). However, some cross-sectional studies have found that
103 increased durations and intensities of physical activity are associated with greater reductions in
104 psychological distress (16–18).

105 There is a lack of longitudinal studies exploring dose-response associations of LTPA with
106 psychological distress and psychological wellbeing. Higher psychological distress and lower
107 wellbeing may be associated with lower leisure-time physical activity (19), which cross-sectional
108 studies cannot examine. Understanding prospective, dose-response associations of LTPA with
109 psychological distress and psychological wellbeing is relevant to inform the development of
110 effective public mental health interventions.

111 There is also a lack of research on potential mediators of the associations between LTPA
112 with psychological distress and psychological wellbeing. There are indications that the body
113 mass index (BMI) (20), physical disability, pain (21,22), and cognition (23) are potential
114 mediators of the association between LTPA with psychological distress and psychological
115 wellbeing. For example, lower LTPA levels are associated with increased BMI, disability, and
116 poor cognition, which could negatively impact psychological distress and wellbeing (24–26).
117 However, the existing evidence is mostly from cross-sectional studies that are unable to
118 disentangle the temporal relationships between the exposures, mediators, and outcomes.

119 Therefore, we conducted a prospective study to investigate the association between LTPA
120 and future psychological distress and wellbeing. A secondary aim was to examine whether
121 cognition, BMI, disability, or pain mediate the associations between LTPA and psychological
122 distress and wellbeing. We used data from the 1970 British Cohort Study to examine these
123 associations across three time points. We hypothesized that i) LTPA is prospectively associated
124 with psychological distress and wellbeing and ii) cognition, BMI, disability, mobility, and pain
125 could mediate the association between LTPA with psychological distress and psychological
126 wellbeing.

127

128 **METHODS**

129 *Sample*

130 We used data from the 1970 British Birth Cohort Study (BCS70), full details of which are
131 available elsewhere (27). Briefly, BCS70 is a multidisciplinary, longitudinal study that included
132 people from England, Scotland, Wales, and Northern Ireland born in a specific week of 1970
133 (27). The sample was followed-up in 1975 (5y), 1980 (10y), 1986 (16y), 1996 (26y), 2000 (30y),
134 2004 (34y), 2008 (38y), 2012 (42y) and 2016 (46y). We analyzed data from 2004 (34y), 2012
135 (42y), and 2016 (46y) waves in 2020. We used the 34y wave as our baseline and 46y as a 23-
136 year follow-up. We also included data from 42y to assess potential mediators in associations
137 between LTPA at 34y and psychological distress and psychological wellbeing at 46. All
138 questionnaires data were collected through face-to-face interviews, including self-reported
139 questions. All procedures utilized for this study complied with the ethical standards of the
140 relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the Helsinki
141 Declaration of 1975. Participants provided informed consent.

142 The initial study sample included 17,284 people (at 1970). However, 6,608 provided
143 complete data in the 2004, 2012 and 2016 waves. Additionally, 1,411 participants presented
144 missing data in at least one variable from the present study and were excluded from the sample.
145 Therefore, the final sample was composed of 5,197 participants (2,688 women). We conducted
146 an attrition analysis by comparing the characteristics of the sample with valid data at baseline to
147 the samples with valid data at the 42y and the 46y waves.

148

149 *Psychological distress and wellbeing (34y and 46y)*

150 The co-primary outcomes were psychological distress and wellbeing. Psychological distress was
151 evaluated at 34y (covariate) and 46y (outcome) using the Malaise Inventory, which asks
152 questions regarding depressive moods, lack of energy, anxiety and stress. The 9-question version
153 was applied (with scores ranging 0 to 9) (28). The Malaise inventory of 24 Items presented good
154 reliability (Cronbach alpha = 0.80) in a previous study among the UK population (29) and the 9-
155 item version has a high correlation with the 24-item questionnaire (30). For wellbeing, the
156 Warwick-Edinburgh mental wellbeing scale was used at 46y. The Warwick-Edinburgh scale
157 includes questions on positive affect, satisfying interpersonal relationships, and positive
158 functioning, with total scores ranging between 14 and 70 (31). A validation study found evidence
159 of good reliability for the Warwick-Edinburgh scale in the UK population, with a Cronbach
160 alpha of 0.92 (31).

161

162 *Leisure time physical activity (34y)*

163 LTPA was assessed at 34y (2004). Participants were shown a list of LTPA that asked whether
164 they: take part in competitive sport of any kind, go to “keep fit” or aerobics classes, go running
165 or jogging, go swimming, go cycling, go for walks, take part in water sports, take part in outdoor
166 sports, go dancing, take part in any other sport or LTPA which involves physical exercise.
167 Participants were also asked whether they took part in these activities regularly (yes or no). The
168 definition of regularly was at least once a month, for most of the year. Participants who answered
169 “yes” were also asked how often they engaged in this type of activity, with responses on a 6-
170 point scale (everyday, 4 to 5 days a week, 2 to 3 days a week, once a week, 2 to 3 times a month,
171 or less often). Participants were also asked whether the activity made them sweaty or out of
172 breath, with responses on a 4-point scale (most times, sometimes, rarely, or never).

173 We used the question about the frequency to create the indicator of LTPA frequency and the
174 question about got out of breath or sweaty (indicating higher intensity) to create the indicator of
175 LTPA intensity. We only considered as habitual physical activity practice those reporting a
176 frequency of at least once a week. People reporting less than once per week were scored 0.
177 Otherwise the mean frequency (7 for “everyday”, 4.5 for “4 to 5 days a week”, 2.5 for “2 to 3
178 days a week” and 1 for “once a week”) was multiplied with the intensity (“most times” = 4,
179 “sometimes” = 3, “rarely” = 2 and “never” = 1) to create a score of approximate energy
180 expenditure (32).

181

182 *Potential mediators (42y)*

183 All potential mediators were assessed at 42y. Cognition (recall) was estimated using the
184 vocabulary test (33). The test included 20 words where cohort members were asked to select
185 which of the five words next to it had a similar meaning to the original word. We used total score
186 on this test as a measure of cognition and our potential mediator. A second mediator was BMI,
187 which was measured using self-reported weight and height (weight / height²).

188 Mobility was a third potential mediator and assessed via the self report question: “During
189 the past 4 weeks, how much difficulty did you have doing your usual work or other daily
190 activities because of your physical health?”. Participants responded on a 5-point scale (none at
191 all, little bit, some, quite a lot, or could not do). Those who reported at least some difficulty were
192 considered as having a physical limitation. For pain perception, participants were asked: “During
193 the past 4 weeks, how much bodily pain have you had?”. Participants responded on a 6-point
194 scale (none, very mild, mild, moderate, severe, or very severe). We considered those who
195 reported moderate to very severe as positive for pain perception. Disability was defined

196 according to the European Union of Statistics on Income and Living Conditions (34) definition
197 of a longstanding illness or condition that reduces the ability to carry out day to day activities.

198

199 *Covariates (34y)*

200 Sex, country of origin, education, employment status, alcohol use, tobacco smoking and values
201 of the Malaise Inventory during baseline (34y) were included as covariates. Educational status
202 (highest qualification achieved) was categorized into three groups: none (no formal education or
203 incomplete secondary education), at least high school and more than high school. Employment
204 status was assessed during adulthood (having a full-time job or not having a full-time job).
205 Tobacco smoking was assessed through a question regarding the smoking frequency where those
206 who reported smoking at least occasionally were considered as smokers. Alcohol use was
207 assessed through a question asking about alcohol consumption frequency where participants who
208 reported four or more days of alcohol consumption per week were considered as frequent
209 consumers.

210

211 *Statistical analysis*

212 Descriptive statistics are presented using means and standard deviations or frequencies. For
213 comparisons between included and non-included sample, we used the chi-squares and t-tests.
214 Linear regression models were created to investigate the association between total, frequency,
215 intensity LTPA at 34y with psychological distress and psychological wellbeing at 46y, adjusting
216 for sex, country, education, employment, alcohol use, tobacco smoking and baseline values of
217 Malaise Inventory and including each mediator in separate models. Linear regression models

218 were also created to analyze the association between LTPA (frequency, intensity and total) and
219 mediators (cognition, BMI, disability, mobility and pain).

220 We conducted mediation models analysing the role of potential mediators (42y) in the
221 association of LTPA (34y) with psychological distress and psychological wellbeing (46y).
222 Considering the assumptions of mediation analysis, we included potential mediators that were
223 predicted by LTPA in preliminary analyses, using the method proposed by Valeri and
224 Vanderweele (35). For this, the total effect was decomposed into total effect (i.e. the effect of
225 LTPA on psychological distress and psychological wellbeing), controlled direct effects (i.e. the
226 direct effect of LTPA on psychological distress and psychological wellbeing that was not
227 explained by the mediators), reference interaction (i.e. the effect of LTPA due to the interaction
228 with the mediators), mediated interaction (i.e. the effect of LTPA due to both mediation and
229 interaction with the mediators), and pure indirect effects (i.e. mediation effect). We used
230 command “med4way” on Stata 15.1 to conduct these analyses (36).

231

232 RESULTS

233

234 The characteristics of the sample are presented in **Table 1**. A comparisons of baseline
235 characteristics between included and non-included sample demonstrated a higher total LTPA,
236 LTPA intensity, and education levels in the included sample (**Table 2**).

237 **Table 3** shows the prospective associations of LTPA at 34y with psychological distress
238 and wellbeing at 46y. Frequency and total LTPA were not associated with psychological distress.
239 Higher LTPA intensity at 34y was associated with lower psychological distress [β : -0.038
240 (95%CI: -0.069 to -0.007)]. Higher LTPA frequency [β : 0.089 (95%CI: 0.002 to 0.176)],

241 intensity [β : 0.262 (95%CI: 0.123 to 0.401)] and total LTPA [β : 0.041 (95%CI: 0.013 to 0.069)]
242 were positively associated with psychological well-being.

243 The associations between exposures (at 34y) and mediators (at 42y) are presented in
244 **Table 4**. Intensity and total LTPA were positively associated with cognition, while frequency
245 and LTPA were inversely associated with BMI. LTPA was not associated with disability,
246 mobility and pain.

247 After preliminary analyses, cognition and BMI were included as mediators in the
248 association between LTPA intensity and psychological distress and the associations of
249 frequency, intensity and total LTPA with psychological wellbeing (**Table 5**). There was a direct
250 effect of LTPA in the association between LTPA intensity at 34y and psychological distress at
251 46y. Only BMI at 42y partially mediated the association between frequency of LTPA (15.7%)
252 and total LTPA (6.2%) at 34 with later psychological wellbeing, such that lower levels of LTPA
253 were associated with higher BMI, which was associated with lower psychological wellbeing. In
254 the other models, LTPA had a direct effect on psychological wellbeing.

255

256 **DISCUSSION**

257 To the best of our knowledge the current study is the first to investigate the prospective
258 associations of different LTPA domains with psychological distress and psychological
259 wellbeing, and to explore their potential mediators. Our main finding was that LTPA at 34y was
260 associated with lower levels of psychological distress and higher levels of psychological
261 wellbeing at 46y. LTPA frequency and total LTPA were positive associated with wellbeing but
262 not psychological distress. BMI partially mediated the associations of higher LTPA frequency
263 and total LTPA with wellbeing.

264 Our results align with previous studies that also found that self-reported physical activity
265 is associated with lower psychological distress and higher psychological wellbeing (4–6). While
266 previous studies focused on total physical activity volume, we examined different physical
267 activity components, including frequency and intensity. Our findings suggested that higher total
268 LTPA and LTPA frequency and intensity were associated with higher psychological wellbeing.
269 However, only LTPA at higher intensity levels was associated with lower psychological distress.
270 Our longitudinal findings build on the results of previous cross-sectional studies to suggest that
271 any dose of physical activity could reduce psychological distress and improve wellbeing, but
272 higher frequencies and intensities could have a larger effect (16–18). These findings align with
273 previous work suggesting that moderate-to-vigorous intensity is protective against mental health
274 symptoms (17,18,37).

275 Different mechanisms could underlie the association of physical activity and
276 psychological distress and wellbeing, including biological changes (38). For example, physical
277 activity is associated with reduced inflammation (39) and psychological distress is associated
278 with a pro-inflammatory state (40). Previous research have found that moderate-intensity
279 physical activity can reduce mental health symptoms through potentially reducing tumor necrosis
280 factor alpha, a pro-inflammatory cytokine (41). Physical activity could also protect against
281 psychological distress through reducing cortisol or improving hippocampal structure or
282 functioning (42–46). LTPA could also enable social network and support, which are associated
283 with reduced psychological distress and increased wellbeing (47–49).

284 Our results also suggest that BMI partially mediated the association between LTPA and
285 psychological wellbeing, and cognition mediated the association between LTPA and
286 psychological distress. LTPA was not prospectively associated with physical limitations,

287 including disability, mobility and pain. Physical limitations typically increase with age (50),
288 suggesting that these associations could be more pronounced in an older sample of adults than
289 we used in this study (51,52).

290 Higher BMI can affect psychological distress and psychological wellbeing through
291 different mechanisms, including weight-related stigmatization or physical self-esteem (53–55).
292 Higher BMI could also lead to higher inflammation, including through a poor quality diet
293 (40,56,57). However, we found that the association of LTPA with psychological distress and
294 psychological wellbeing was predominantly through a direct effect, suggesting that other factors
295 than BMI may predominantly explain the associations.

296 We study included prospective data from a large national birth cohort with a 12-years
297 follow-up. However, potential limitations include the use of self-report measure of LTPA,
298 psychological distress, wellbeing and potential mediators, which are prone to recall and social
299 desirability bias. The LTPA and mediator measures were also unvalidated. There was
300 considerable attrition throughout the study, which may have induced a selection bias. We were
301 unable to adjust for unmeasured confounding, such as social relationships quality, loneliness, the
302 presence of mental disorders, or genetic mental health risks. We were also unable adjust the
303 analyses for baseline psychological wellbeing due to unavailability of data.

304 In conclusion, LTPA was prospectively associated with lower psychological distress and
305 higher psychological wellbeing and this association varied according to frequency and intensity.
306 We found some evidence that BMI partially mediated the association of LTPA with
307 psychological wellbeing. Our findings highlight the public health importance of the LTPA in
308 reducing mental health disorders in the population. Future research should explore the

309 prospective role of different physical activity intensities in its association with psychological
310 distress and wellbeing and potential mediators of this association.

311

312 REFERENCES

313 1. Ferrari AJ, Charlson FJ, Norman RE, Patten SB, Freedman G, Murray CJL, Vos T,
314 Whiteford HA. Burden of Depressive Disorders by Country, Sex, Age, and Year: Findings from
315 the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Hay PJ, editor. PLoS Medicine. 2013;10:e1001547.

316 2. Schuch FB, Vancampfort D, Firth J, Rosenbaum S, Ward PB, Silva ES, Hallgren M,
317 Ponce De Leon A, Dunn AL, Deslandes AC, Fleck MP, Carvalho AF, Stubbs B. Physical
318 Activity and Incident Depression: A Meta-Analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies. American
319 Journal of Psychiatry. 2018;175:631–48.

320 3. Schuch FB, Stubbs B, Meyer J, Heissel A, Zech P, Vancampfort D, Rosenbaum S,
321 Deenik J, Firth J, Ward PB, Carvalho AF, Hiles SA. Physical activity protects from incident
322 anxiety: A meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Depression and Anxiety. 2019;36:846–
323 58.

324 4. Blomstrand A, Björkelund C, Ariai N, Lissner L, Bengtsson C. Effects of leisure-time
325 physical activity on well-being among women: a 32-year perspective. Scandinavian Journal of
326 Public Health. 2009;37:706–12.

327 5. Perales F, Pozo-Cruz J del, Pozo-Cruz B del. Impact of Physical Activity on
328 Psychological Distress: A Prospective Analysis of an Australian National Sample. American
329 Journal of Public Health. 2014;104:e91–97.

330 6. Sheikh MA, Vancampfort D, Stubbs B. Leisure time physical activity and future
331 psychological distress: A thirteen year longitudinal population-based study. Journal of

- 332 Psychiatric Research. 2018;101:50–56.
- 333 7. White RL, Babic MJ, Parker PD, Lubans DR, Astell-Burt T, Lonsdale C. Domain-
334 Specific Physical Activity and Mental Health: A Meta-analysis. *American Journal of Preventive*
335 *Medicine*. 2017;52:653–66.
- 336 8. Keyes CLM. The Mental Health Continuum: From Languishing to Flourishing in Life.
337 *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*. 2002;43:207.
- 338 9. Ridner SH. Psychological distress: concept analysis. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*.
339 2004;45:536–45.
- 340 10. Kubzansky LD, Huffman JC, Boehm JK, Hernandez R, Kim ES, Koga HK, Feig EH,
341 Lloyd-Jones DM, Seligman MEP, Labarthe DR. Positive Psychological Well-Being and
342 Cardiovascular Disease. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2018;72:1382–96.
- 343 11. Lazzarino AI, Hamer M, Stamatakis E, Steptoe A. The Combined Association of
344 Psychological Distress and Socioeconomic Status With All-Cause Mortality: A National Cohort
345 Study. *JAMA Internal Medicine*. 2013;173:22.
- 346 12. Martín-María N, Miret M, Caballero FF, Rico-Urbe LA, Steptoe A, Chatterji S, Ayuso-
347 Mateos JL. The Impact of Subjective Well-being on Mortality: A Meta-Analysis of Longitudinal
348 Studies in the General Population. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2017;79:565–75.
- 349 13. Welsh J, Korda RJ, Joshy G, Butterworth P, Brown A, Banks E. Psychological distress
350 and ischaemic heart disease: cause or consequence? Evidence from a large prospective cohort
351 study. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*. 2017;jech-2017-209535.
- 352 14. Keyes CLM, Dhingra SS, Simoes EJ. Change in Level of Positive Mental Health as a
353 Predictor of Future Risk of Mental Illness. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2010;100:2366–
354 71.

- 355 15. World Health Organization. WHO guidelines on physical activity and sedentary
356 behaviour. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2020.
- 357 16. Galper DI, Trivedi MH, Barlow CE, Dunn AL, Kampert JB. Inverse Association between
358 Physical Inactivity and Mental Health in Men and Women: *Medicine & Science in Sports &*
359 *Exercise*. 2006;38:173–78.
- 360 17. Hamer M, Stamatakis E, Steptoe A. Dose-response relationship between physical activity
361 and mental health: the Scottish Health Survey. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*.
362 2009;43:1111–14.
- 363 18. Bernard P, Doré I, Romain A-J, Hains-Monfette G, Kingsbury C, Sabiston C. Dose
364 response association of objective physical activity with mental health in a representative national
365 sample of adults: A cross-sectional study. van Amelsvoort T, editor. *PLOS ONE*.
366 2018;13:e0204682.
- 367 19. Firth J, Siddiqi N, Koyanagi A, Siskind D, Rosenbaum S, Galletly C, Allan S, Caneo C,
368 Carney R, Carvalho AF, Chatterton ML, Correll CU, Curtis J, Gaughran F, Heald A, Hoare E,
369 Jackson SE, Kisely S, Lovell K, Maj M, McGorry PD, Mihalopoulos C, Myles H, O'Donoghue
370 B, Pillinger T, Sarris J, Schuch FB, Shiers D, Smith L, Solmi M, Suetani S, Taylor J, Teasdale
371 SB, Thornicroft G, Torous J, Usherwood T, Vancampfort D, Veronese N, Ward PB, Yung AR,
372 Killackey E, Stubbs B. The Lancet Psychiatry Commission: a blueprint for protecting physical
373 health in people with mental illness. *The Lancet Psychiatry*. 2019;6:675–712.
- 374 20. Hattar A, Pal S, Hagger MS. Predicting Physical Activity-Related Outcomes in
375 Overweight and Obese Adults: A Health Action Process Approach. *Applied Psychology: Health*
376 *and Well-Being*. 2016;8:127–51.
- 377 21. Stubbs B, Koyanagi A, Schuch FB, Firth J, Rosenbaum S, Veronese N, Solmi M,

- 378 Mugisha J, Vancampfort D. Physical activity and depression: a large cross-sectional, population-
379 based study across 36 low- and middle-income countries. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*.
380 2016;134:546–56.
- 381 22. Cairney J, Faulkner G, Veldhuizen S, Wade TJ. Changes over Time in Physical Activity
382 and Psychological Distress among Older Adults. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*.
383 2009;54:160–69.
- 384 23. Mandolesi L, Polverino A, Montuori S, Foti F, Ferraioli G, Sorrentino P, Sorrentino G.
385 Effects of Physical Exercise on Cognitive Functioning and Wellbeing: Biological and
386 Psychological Benefits. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2018;9:509.
- 387 24. Caputo J, Simon RW. Physical Limitation and Emotional Well-Being: Gender and
388 Marital Status Variations. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*. 2013;54:241–57.
- 389 25. Gale CR, Harris A, Deary IJ. Reaction time and onset of psychological distress: the UK
390 Health and Lifestyle Survey. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*. 2016;70:813–17.
- 391 26. Elran-Barak R. The associations of healthful weight-control behaviors with psychological
392 distress and changes in body mass index among young adults. *Journal of Health Psychology*.
393 2019;135910531984069.
- 394 27. Elliott J, Shepherd P. Cohort Profile: 1970 British Birth Cohort (BCS70). *International*
395 *Journal of Epidemiology*. 2006;35:836–43.
- 396 28. Rutter M, Tizard J, Whitmore K. *Education, health and behaviour*. London: Longmans;
397 1970.
- 398 29. Rodgers B, Pickles A, Power C, Collishaw S, Maughan B. Validity of the Malaise
399 Inventory in general population samples. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*.
400 1999;34:333–41.

- 401 30. Ploubidis GB, Sullivan A, Brown M, Goodman A. Psychological distress in mid-life:
402 evidence from the 1958 and 1970 British birth cohorts. *Psychological Medicine*. 2017;47:291–
403 303.
- 404 31. Tennant R, Hiller L, Fishwick R, Platt S, Joseph S, Weich S, Parkinson J, Secker J,
405 Stewart-Brown S. The Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (WEMWBS): development
406 and UK validation. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*. 2007;5:63.
- 407 32. Juneau C-E, Sullivan A, Dodgeon B, Côté S, Ploubidis GB, Potvin L. Social class across
408 the life course and physical activity at age 34 years in the 1970 British birth cohort. *Annals of*
409 *Epidemiology*. 2014;24:641-647.e1.
- 410 33. Kantar Public UK. Technical report of the 1970 British Cohort Study: Age 42 survey
411 (2012 – 2013). London, UK: TNS BMRB; 2013.
- 412 34. EUROSTAT. Methodological guidelines and description of EU-SILC target variables.
413 Luxembourg City, Luxembourg; 2017.
- 414 35. Valeri L, VanderWeele TJ. Mediation analysis allowing for exposure–mediator
415 interactions and causal interpretation: Theoretical assumptions and implementation with SAS
416 and SPSS macros. *Psychological Methods*. 2013;18:137–50.
- 417 36. Discacciati A, Bellavia A, Lee JJ, Mazumdar M, Valeri L. Med4way: a Stata command to
418 investigate mediating and interactive mechanisms using the four-way effect decomposition.
419 *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2019;48:15–20.
- 420 37. Currier D, Lindner R, Spittal MJ, Cvetkovski S, Pirkis J, English DR. Physical activity
421 and depression in men: Increased activity duration and intensity associated with lower likelihood
422 of current depression. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2020;260:426–31.
- 423 38. Kandola A, Ashdown-Franks G, Hendrikse J, Sabiston CM, Stubbs B. Physical activity

- 424 and depression: Towards understanding the antidepressant mechanisms of physical activity.
425 *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2019;107:525–39.
- 426 39. Fernandes RA, Ritti-Dias RM, Balagopal PB, Conceição RDO, Santos RD, Cucato GG,
427 Bittencourt MS. Self-initiated physical activity is associated with high sensitivity C-reactive
428 protein: A longitudinal study in 5,030 adults. *Atherosclerosis*. 2018;273:131–35.
- 429 40. Goldman-Mellor S, Brydon L, Steptoe A. Psychological distress and circulating
430 inflammatory markers in healthy young adults. *Psychological Medicine*. 2010;40:2079–87.
- 431 41. Paolucci EM, Loukov D, Bowdish DME, Heisz JJ. Exercise reduces depression and
432 inflammation but intensity matters. *Biological Psychology*. 2018;133:79–84.
- 433 42. Firth J, Stubbs B, Vancampfort D, Schuch F, Lagopoulos J, Rosenbaum S, Ward PB.
434 Effect of aerobic exercise on hippocampal volume in humans: A systematic review and meta-
435 analysis. *NeuroImage*. 2018;166:230–38.
- 436 43. Firth JA, Smith L, Sarris J, Vancampfort D, Schuch F, Carvalho AF, Solmi M, Yung AR,
437 Stubbs B, Firth J. Handgrip Strength Is Associated With Hippocampal Volume and White Matter
438 Hyperintensities in Major Depression and Healthy Controls: A UK Biobank Study.
439 *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2020;82:39–46.
- 440 44. Kubarych TS, Prom-Wormley EC, Franz CE, Panizzon MS, Dale AM, Fischl B, Eyer
441 LT, Fennema-Notestine C, Grant MD, Hauger RL, Hellhammer DH, Jak AJ, Jernigan TL,
442 Lupien SJ, Lyons MJ, Mendoza SP, Neale MC, Seidman LJ, Tsuang MT, Kremen WS. A
443 multivariate twin study of hippocampal volume, self-esteem and well-being in middle-aged men.
444 *Genes, Brain and Behavior*. 2012;11:539–44.
- 445 45. Van 't Ent D, den Braber A, Baselmans BML, Brouwer RM, Dolan CV, Hulshoff Pol
446 HE, de Geus EJC, Bartels M. Associations between subjective well-being and subcortical brain

447 volumes. *Scientific Reports*. 2017;7:6957.

448 46. Fuqua JS, Rogol AD. Neuroendocrine alterations in the exercising human: Implications
449 for energy homeostasis. *Metabolism*. 2013;62:911–21.

450 47. Bian Y, Hao M, Li Y. Social Networks and Subjective Well-Being: A Comparison of
451 Australia, Britain, and China. *Journal of Happiness Studies*. 2018;19:2489–2508.

452 48. Fu R, Noguchi H, Tachikawa H, Aiba M, Nakamine S, Kawamura A, Takahashi H,
453 Tamiya N. Relation between social network and psychological distress among middle-aged
454 adults in Japan: Evidence from a national longitudinal survey. *Social Science & Medicine*.
455 2017;175:58–65.

456 49. Thoits PA. Mechanisms Linking Social Ties and Support to Physical and Mental Health.
457 *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*. 2011;52:145–61.

458 50. Ferrucci L, Cooper R, Shardell M, Simonsick EM, Schrack JA, Kuh D. Age-Related
459 Change in Mobility: Perspectives From Life Course Epidemiology and Geroscience. *The*
460 *Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*. 2016;71:1184–94.

461 51. Huang C, Sun S, Tian X, Wang T, Wang T, Duan H, Wu Y. Age modify the associations
462 of obesity, physical activity, vision and grip strength with functional mobility in Irish aged 50
463 and older. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*. 2019;84:103895.

464 52. Makino K, Lee S, Lee S, Bae S, Jung S, Shinkai Y, Shimada H. Daily Physical Activity
465 and Functional Disability Incidence in Community-Dwelling Older Adults with Chronic Pain: A
466 Prospective Cohort Study. *Pain Medicine*. 2019;20:1702–10.

467 53. Alimoradi Z, Golboni F, Griffiths MD, Broström A, Lin C-Y, Pakpour AH. Weight-
468 related stigma and psychological distress: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical*
469 *Nutrition*. 2019;S0261561419331024.

- 470 54. Emmer C, Bosnjak M, Mata J. The association between weight stigma and mental health:
471 A meta-analysis. *Obesity Reviews*. 2020;21:e12935.
- 472 55. Jackson SE, Steptoe A. Obesity, perceived weight discrimination, and hair cortisol: a
473 population-based study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2018;98:67–73.
- 474 56. Ellulu MS, Patimah I, Khaza'ai H, Rahmat A, Abed Y. Obesity and inflammation: the
475 linking mechanism and the complications. *Archives of Medical Science*. 2017;4:851–63.
- 476 57. Holder MD. The Contribution of Food Consumption to Well-Being. *Annals of Nutrition
477 and Metabolism*. 2019;74:44–52.
- 478
- 479

480 **Table 1.** Characteristics of the sample (n = 5,197).

Variable	N (%) or mean \pm SD
34 years	
Sex (Women), %	2,688 (51.7%)
Country of residence, %	
England	4,516 (86.9%)
Scotland	415 (8.0%)
Wales	266 (5.1%)
LTPA frequency, days/week	2.5 \pm 2.4
LTPA intensity, score	2.4 \pm 1.5
Total LTPA, score	7.4 \pm 7.6
Psychological distress, score	1.5 \pm 1.8
<i>Education, %</i>	
None	1,096 (21.1%)
Up to high school	2,587 (49.8%)
More than high school	1,514 (29.1%)
Employment (yes), %	3,891 (74.9%)
Tobacco smoking, %	1,437 (27.7%)
Alcohol use, %	881 (17.0%)
42 years	
Cognition, score	13.1 \pm 3.4
Body mass index, kg/m ²	26.7 \pm 5.1
Disability, %	642 (12.4%)
Mobility problems, %	1,129 (21.7%)
Pain, %	846 (16.3%)
46 years	
Psychological distress, score	1.7 \pm 2.0
Well-being, score	50.6 \pm 8.3

481 Note. Values are described using absolute and relative frequencies or means with standard
482 deviation. LTPA, leisure-time physical activity.

483

484 **Table 2.** Characteristics of included vs. non-included sample

Variable	Included (n = 5,197)	Non-included (n = 4,468)	p
Sex (Women), %	51.7	52.7	0.316
Country of residence, %			<0.001
England	86.9	83.2	
Scotland	8.0	6.0	
Wales	5.1	10.8	
<i>Education, %</i>			<0.001
None	21.1	36.9	
Up to high school	49.8	42.6	
More than high school	29.1	20.5	
LTPA frequency, days/week	2.5 (2.4)	2.5 (2.5)	0.668
LTPA intensity, score	2.4 (1.5)	2.2 (1.6)	<0.001
Total LTPA, score	7.4 (7.6)	7.1 (7.7)	0.024

485 **Note.** Values are presented using relative frequencies or means (standard deviations).

486

487

488

489 **Table 3.** Regression models of the association between different leisure-time physical activity
 490 indicators at 34 years with psychological distress and psychological wellbeing at 46 years.

Model		Psychological distress β (95%CI)	Wellbeing β (95%CI)
LTPA Frequency			
Crude model	Physical activity	-0.010 (-0.033 to 0.013)	0.150 (0.056 to 0.244)*
Adjusted model	Physical activity	-0.004 (-0.011 to 0.002)	0.089 (0.002 to 0.176)*
LTPA Intensity			
Crude model	Physical activity	-0.123 (-0.159 to -0.087)*	0.500 (0.353 to 0.647)*
Adjusted model	Physical activity	-0.038 (-0.069 to -0.007)*	0.262 (0.123 to 0.401)*
Total LTPA			
Crude model	Physical activity	-0.012 (-0.020 to -0.005)*	0.070 (0.040 to 0.099)*
Adjusted model	Physical activity	-0.001 (-0.021 to 0.018)	0.041 (0.013 to 0.069)*

491 Note. Adjusted for sex, country, education, employment, tobacco smoking, alcohol use and
 492 values of malaise inventory at age 34. CI, confidence interval. LTPA, leisure-time physical
 493 activity. *p<0.05.

494 **Table 4.** Association between leisure-time physical activity indicators (at 34 years) and mediators (at 42 years).

LTPA indicators	Cognition β (95%CI)	Body Mass Index β (95%CI)	Disability β (95%CI)	Mobility β (95%CI)	Pain β (95%CI)
Frequency	0.004 (-0.031 to 0.039)	-0.124 (-0.181 to -0.067)*	0.018 (-0.016 to 0.053)	0.002 (-0.026 to 0.030)	0.027 (-0.004 to 0.057)
Intensity	0.117 (0.061 to 0.173)*	-0.047 (-0.139 to 0.044)	-0.047 (-0.103 to 0.009)	-0.033 (-0.077 to 0.012)	-0.004 (-0.046 to 0.055)
Total	0.016 (0.005 to 0.027)*	-0.024 (-0.042 to -0.006)*	0.002 (-0.009 to 0.013)	-0.003 (-0.012 to 0.006)	0.008 (-0.002 to 0.018)

495 Note. Adjusted for sex, country, education, employment, tobacco smoking, alcohol use and malaise inventory during baseline (34
496 years). LTPA, leisure-time physical activity. *p<0.05.

497

498

499 **Table 5.** Mediation models of the association of leisure-time physical activity (at 34 years) with psychological distress and
 500 psychological wellbeing at 46 years.

	Total effect β (95%CI)	Controlled direct effect β (95%CI)	Reference interaction β (95%CI)	Mediated interaction β (95%CI)	Pure indirect effect β (95%CI)
Psychological distress					
LTPA Intensity					
Cognition	-0.038 (-0.069 to -0.007)*	-0.038 (-0.069 to -0.007)*	0.000 (-0.001 to 0.001)	0.000 (-0.001 to 0.001)	0.000 (-0.002 to 0.002)
Body Mass Index	-0.039 (-0.070 to -0.008)*	-0.038 (-0.069 to -0.007)*	0.000 (0.000 to 0.001)	0.000 (-0.001 to 0.001)	-0.001 (-0.002 to 0.001)
Wellbeing					
LTPA Frequency					
Cognition	0.088 (0.001 to 0.175)*	0.087 (0.001 to 0.175)*	0.000 (-0.001 to 0.001)	0.000 (0.000 to 0.000)	0.000 (-0.001 to 0.001)
Body Mass Index	0.093 (0.006 to 0.180)*	0.078 (-0.009 to 0.165)	0.002 (-0.001 to 0.006)	-0.002 (-0.005 to 0.001)	0.015 (0.006 to 0.023)*
LTPA Intensity					
Cognition	0.262 (0.123 to 0.401)*	0.260 (0.120 to 0.399)*	0.000 (-0.004 to 0.005)	0.000 (-0.004 to 0.005)	0.002 (-0.007 to 0.011)
Body Mass Index	0.261 (0.122 to 0.401)*	0.256 (0.117 to 0.396)*	0.000 (-0.001 to 0.001)	0.000 (-0.001 to 0.001)	0.005 (-0.005 to 0.015)
Total LTPA					
Cognition	0.042 (0.014 to 0.069)*	0.041 (0.013 to 0.069)*	0.000 (0.000 to 0.000)	0.000 (0.000 to 0.000)	0.000 (-0.001 to 0.001)
Body Mass Index	0.041 (0.014 to 0.069)*	0.039 (0.011 to 0.066)*	0.000 (-0.001 to 0.001)	0.000 (0.000 to 0.000)	0.003 (0.001 to 0.005)*

501 Note. Adjusted for sex, country, education, employment, tobacco smoking, alcohol use and baseline values of malaise inventory.
 502 Percentage of mediation of the BMI on total physical activity model with wellbeing: 6.2%. BMI on physical activity frequency model
 503 with wellbeing: 15.7%. LTPA, leisure-time physical activity. *p<0.05.